

## MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMMISSION ON ETHICS & PUBLIC TRUST



In re: Commissioner Dorrin Rolle

Case No: 10-14

## PUBLIC REPORT AND ORDER ACCEPTING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

The Advocate filed the above-captioned complaint against former Miami-Dade County Commissioner Dorrin Rolle for violation of Sections 2-11.1(g) (misuse of official position) and 2-11.1(n) (actions prohibited when financial interests involved). The complaint alleged the Respondent met with county officials regarding funding for a non-profit organization. During the time period covered by the complaint, the Respondent served as the Chief Executive Officer of the James E. Scott Community Association (JESCA) and as a member of the Miami-Dade County Board of County Commissioners.

In March, 2007, the Respondent met with Assistant
County Manager Alex Munoz regarding funding for a senior
center that was being built by JESCA. Subsequently, in
September 2007, the Respondent met with the County Manager
regarding funding for furniture, fixtures and equipment

DONE AND ORDERED by the Miami-Dade County Commission on Ethics and Public Trust in public session on November 22, 2010.

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMMISSION ON ETHICS AND PUBLIC TRUST

By:

Kerry Rosentha

Chairperson

cc: Andre Crenshaw, Attorney for Respondent

(FFE) for the JESCA Senior Center. The Respondent also corresponded and met with other county officials regarding funding for the JESCA Senior Center.

On March 18, 2010, the Ethics Commission granted the Respondent's Motion for Continuance of the probable cause hearing. On May 19, 2010, after reviewing the pleadings and the Advocate's probable cause memorandum, hearing the argument of the Advocate and the Respondent's counsel, the Ethics Commission found probable cause. Subsequently, the Ethics Commission set the matter for public hearing.

On November 22, 2010, the Advocate presented a proposed settlement agreement wherein the Respondent agreed not to contest any of the allegations in the complaint. The Respondent also agreed to pay fines in the amount of one thousand seven hundred and fifty (\$1,750) dollars.

On November 22, 2010, upon review of the pleadings and being otherwise advised in the premises, the Ethics Commission accepted the proposed settlement agreement and ordered the complaint dismissed.

Therefore it is:

ORDERED AND ADJUDGED THAT the Complaint is

DISMISSED in accordance with the terms of the attached settlement agreement.

## MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMMISSION ON ETHICS AND PUBLIC TRUST

| IN RE:       |   | CASE NO: C10-14 |
|--------------|---|-----------------|
| DORRIN ROLLE |   |                 |
|              | , |                 |

## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Pursuant to section 5.13 of the Miami-Dade County Commission on Ethics and Public Trust Rules of Procedure, Respondent does hereby enter into this settlement agreement in full satisfaction of the above captioned matter based upon the following terms and conditions:

- 1. Respondent, Dorrin Rolle believes it to be in his best interest and the best interest of all parties involved to avoid the expense and time of litigating this matter any further. Respondent agrees not to contest the allegations contained in Counts I, II, III and IV of Ethics complaint number C10-14.
- 2. Pursuant to this agreement, Respondent agrees to pay a fine of \$1,750.00 to the Miami-Dade County Commission on Ethics and Public Trust and accept a public reprimand in full satisfaction of all four counts of the complaint.
- 3. Respondent understands and agrees that failure by respondent to pay all monies due, as outlined in paragraph 2 above, may result in garnishment or other appropriate processes or proceedings to enforce the recovery of a judgment as governed by the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure.
- **4**. Failure by respondent to fulfill and abide by his obligation under this Agreed Settlement Order may also result in contempt proceedings against Respondent.
- 5 This agreement, consisting of two (2) pages, embodies the entire agreement of the parties respecting the subject matter herein. There are no promises, terms, conditions or obligations other than those contained herein. This instrument

supercedes any and all previous communications, representations or agreements, either verbal or written between the parties.

- 6. By signing this agreement, Respondent acknowledges that he is doing so freely, voluntarily and without duress; that he is competent to enter this agreement; that he has fully and completely read and understood the terms and conditions of the agreement and has either had the opportunity to discuss these terms with legal counsel or has freely and voluntarily chosen to proceed without legal representation and that if anyone is signing this agreement on Respondent's behalf or in a representative capacity, that they are duly authorized and have full authority to execute this agreement.
- 7. Respondent agrees that settlement of this action in the manner described above is just and in the best interests of Respondent, the citizens of the City of Miami and Miami-Dade County.

Done and Ordered at Miami-Dade County, Florida this 2010.

Bv:

Kerry E. Rosenthal

Chairperson

Advocate

Dorrin Rolle Respondent

2